ShodhSamajik: Journal of Social Studies
October-December 2026 3(3)
https://shodhsamajik.com/

Original Article

THE FALL OF THE TMC FORTRESS: ANALYZING WEST BENGAL ASSEMBLY ELECTION 2026

Vimal Kar Rai [1*]
[1] PhD Scholar, Department of African Studies, University of Delhi, Delhi, India

ABSTRACT

The 2026 West Bengal Assembly Elections ended 15 years of AITC (All India Trinamool Congress) rule, and brought the BJP (Bharatiya Janata Party) to power. This study analyzes the political science implications of the structural, institutional, and socio-economic factors that contributed to the loss of the TMC's (Trinamool Congress) continuous 15 year rule. Based on the theories of subaltern populism and electoral anti-incumbency, this research study analyzes public recruitment scandals and local level corruption, and the combination of the opposition parties, along with changes in the Election Commission's guidelines, to transform the TMC's electoral fortunes. It examines the outcomes of this transformation, up to July 2026. Further, this study analyzes the shift from subaltern welfare populism to 'administrative exhaustion' and the challenges to dominant party systems within the Indian state.

Keywords: West Bengal Politics, Trinamool Congress, Subaltern Populism, Anti-Incumbency, Bharatiya Janata Party, Electoral Realignment, Public Sector Corruption, Electoral Roll Revisions

INTRODUCTION: THE POLITICAL ECONOMY OF HEGEMONY IN WEST BENGAL

THE DOMINANT PARTY TRADITION IN POST COLONIAL BENGAL

After independence, leading personalities in Bengal concentrated power in their own hands by forming long dominant party regimes. The result was the establishment of one party rule in large parts of the state. Between 1977 and 2011, the Left Front, dominated by the Communist Party of India (Marxist) [CPI (M)], consolidated its hold over the state, and by the time it lost power, it had restructured the social and political order to its liking. The Left Front government imposed its will by monopolizing the state and ruling the "social order through a host of party committees at the lower level. The committees adjudicated social disputes and controlling the means of socio-economic livelihoods. Through its hardworking and committed cadres, the Left Front won over the support of a section of the rural elite by implementing agrarian reforms (Operation Barga). As a result, the Left Front government dominated the rural and urban political order for three and a half decades.

In the last 30 years, the CPI(M) ruled various state institutions. During this period, the party's cadre and apparatus systems changed and ossified, moving away from the ideals of a party committed to class struggle and mass mobilization to a party obsessed with bureaucratic details and control. The party's growing distance from its mass base and class concerns culminated in violent clashes with the party's poorest and most marginalized supporters, and, ultimately, the removal of the Left Front from power in 2011.

*Corresponding Author:
Email address: Vimal Kar Rai (vimal.rai4657@gmail.com)

Received: 28 September 2026; **Revised:** 12 October r 2026; **Accepted:** 26 October 2026; **Published:** 31 October 2026
DOI: 10.29121/ShodhSamajik.v3.i3.2026.205
Page Number: 25-32
Journal Title: ShodhSamajik: Journal of Social Studies
Journal Abbreviation: ShodhSamajik J. Soc. Stud.
Online ISSN: 3049-2319, **Print ISSN:** 3108-2009
Publisher: Granthaalayah Publications and Printers, India
Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
Authors' Contributions: Each author made an equal contribution to the conception and design of the study. All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.
Transparency: The authors affirm that this manuscript presents an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study. All essential aspects have been included, and any deviations from the original study plan have been clearly explained. The writing process strictly adhered to established ethical standards.
Copyright: © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.

THE CONSTRUCTION OF TRINAMOOL HEGEMONY (2011–2021)

After coming to power, the TMC adopted a similar strategy as the previous Marxist regime to consolidate its hold over state power. However, unlike the rigid and centralized party structures of the previous Left Front governments, Mamata Banerjee championed a more personalized brand of politics. In the process, Banerjee relaxed her hold over the administration and social welfare substantially. Unlike the previous Left Front governments, social welfare and citizen security became the central tenets of Banerjee's administration.

This study analyzed "subaltern welfare capitalism" and its integration with "Bengali nationalism" (Bangla Nijer Meke Chai: Bengal Wants Its Own Daughter). Based on the authors' interviews with TMC and other political party members, the study found that the TMC successfully consolidated a multi-class and diverse electorate with its politics of civilizational protectionism. The organization's capacity to integrate lower castes (including rural classes) and Muslim minorities (~30% of the state's population) and mainstream sections of the state's urban working class was impressive. Winning three consecutive popular mandates (in 2011, 2016, and 2021) endeared the TMC to a large section of the state's population. During the 2021 state assembly elections, the TMC won against high-profile campaigns spearheaded by the BJP and its allies to capture political power in the state.

THE MAY 2026 REALIGNMENT: SCOPE AND THESIS

Structurally combined with assertive regional sub-nationalism, this variant of welfare capitalism promoted Bengali culture (Bangla Nijer Meke Chay). The TMC staked out a cultural defense position against "outsiders" (bohiragoto) to convey that its opponents were non-Bengali, and especially targeting the BJP. Civilizations were pitted against each other. The result was an alliance consisting of lower-caste rural groups, the urban working class, and the Muslim minority who make up about 30% of the population. The TMC dominated the political landscape and was able to win the 2011, 2016 and 2021 Assembly elections. In the 2021 elections, the TMC was able to win 213 seats despite a major offensive by the BJP. The situation changed in 2026. The BJP was able to win 208 of the 294 seats and the TMC was reduced to 80 seats. On May 9, 2026, the BJP was able to establish its first government in the state, with Suvendu Adhikari as the party's first Bengal Chief Minister.

Using tools of political analysis, this article identifies reasons for the TMC's loss of power between 2011 and July 2026. It contends that while the loss of the TMC's power can be partly explained by anti-incumbency, this is insufficient. It identifies the following structural crises:

ethical decline of the subaltern welfare state due to patronage hiring;

local government's emphasis on extractive "cut" systems that alienated the urban working class;

the Election Commission of India's (ECI) proactive (and in some cases, retrospective) changes to the electoral roll that led to the unseating of TMC's booth-level agents;

a two-pronged opposition strategy that penetrated the TMC's strongholds in rural Bengal.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: SUBALTERN POPULISM, CLIENTELISM, AND REGIME COLLAPSE

THE ARCHITECTURE OF SUBALTERN POPULISM

In the study of the Trinamool Congress's rise and fall, what is required is a study of the workings of subaltern populism in the field of comparative politics. With subaltern populism, the head of government, either through choice or contingency, does not make use of political institutions. Rather, he or she privately builds a connection with the lowermost class (or subaltern) through an emotional (or affective) appeal. While Latin America has had an abundance of cases of populism that promoted and protected the interest of the urban workers and peasants (e.g. Juan Peron of Argentina), the cases of West Bengal have been mostly subaltern (e.g. Mamata Banerjee and Suvendu Adhikari) and have focused on the rural and urban poor (and unorganized) workers.

Through Banerjee's brand of subaltern populism, power was centered on the Welfare State. Rather than seeking to develop the industry or build the infrastructure to ensure employment for the people of West Bengal, the subaltern populace of the state (the poor) were redefined as the beneficiaries (Lahbharthi) of the welfare program. The subaltern welfare model, however, presents a major dilemma. Cash welfare programs can, to an extent, alleviate (or ease) poverty, but it will not ensure that the people of the state become beneficiaries of social and economic opportunities, and improve their standard of living. In fact, the model ultimately prevents social mobility. Thus, when the state is severely indebted to GSDP (Gross State Domestic Product) of a financial year, the negative impact of an already slow and stagnant economy becomes glaring.

CLIENTELISM, PATRONAGE, AND THE RENT-SEEKING STATE

Clientelistic democracies use patronage to build and maintain clienteles. The TMC in West Bengal clientelized its electorate using the Left Front's "party-society" model. Under this model, people openly align their everyday social relationships with their political

affiliations. Where the CPI(M) used state-sanctioned ideological committees to impose party discipline, the TMC used local-level elites, voluntary youth and trade unions.

Because of the patronage model, the state became what political economists call an "extractive rent-seeking state." State and party functionaries seized control of state resources and the means of production, and determined who received state resources, and in what manner. To gain control of state resources to produce or sell, or to control the means of production, people were required to pay informal fees to state and party functionaries. Over time, collecting informal fees by state functionaries and their agents became the normal way of doing business at the local state level. Ultimately, people became alienated from the state. Local functionaries of the state adopted corrupt behaviour to control the means of local extortion. As the cost of extortion outweighed the benefits of state welfare, an informal anti-state feeling among the people became widespread.

THEORIES OF REGIME DECAY AND ELECTORAL REALIGNMENT

There are several ways to conceptualize the decay of a political regime. One can draw on V. O. Key's theories on party system realignment and other similar frameworks to explain dominant party systems. In such cases, a realignment of the party system occurs when a part of the coalition suffers structural alienation and defects to a new party.

The case of West Bengal illustrates three such decays of political regime at the different levels of the state. These included,

- **Administrative Fatigue:** The systematic erosion of civil service autonomy, where bureaucratic appointments and police administration were subordinated to party interests.
- **Generational Rift:** The inability of the dominant party to absorb an expanding demographic of educated, unemployed youth who were systematically excluded from public sector employment due to monetized recruitment processes.
- **Electoral Realignment:** A fundamental, durable shift in voter loyalties where subaltern social groups (including Rajbanshis, Matuas, and lower-caste Namasudras) permanently migrated from the incumbent subaltern coalition to an alternative nationalist-developmental coalition represented by the BJP.

INSTITUTIONAL SCANDALS, CORRUPTION, AND MIDDLE-CLASS ALIENATION

THE RECRUITMENT SCAM INFRASTRUCTURE

The party's rural, lower class base was traditionally supported by the party's welfare policy. Large scale recruitment scandals in the public sector shocked and embarrassed the party. In areas with insufficient or no private industry and employment, the West Bengal School Service Commission and the West Bengal Board of Primary Education were the only avenues to formal employment, and by extension social and economic upward mobility, in teaching.

From 2022 onwards, and particularly until 2025, the High Court of Calcutta ordered a series of judicial enquiries to investigate rampant recruitment scandals, which were subsequently investigated by the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) and the Enforcement Directorate (ED). The scandals revealed that:

1) **OMR Sheet Tampering:** Less meritorious candidates paid bribes of between 50 to 150 Lakhs (rupees) to public service commission officials to award them marks and appointments.
2) **Parallel Merit Lists:** The public service commission officials replaced the merit list with an altered or a new list, thereby appointing less meritorious candidates to the position.
3) **Institutional Seizure:** The executive appointments in the Education Department were centralized in the hands of a few political appointees and officers, who re-distributed large sums of black money.

There are many ways to describe the situation created by the teaching jobs scam. From 2010 onwards, passionate teachers-to-be staged relentless protests in front of Kolkata's Gandhi Statue. The statuesque nature of the protests kept the corrupt actions of the state before the citizens. The recruitment process for teaching jobs is a significant indicator of state's commitment towards society's upliftment. The monetization of the process made common people feel as if the administration made a malicious attempt to commercialize and vulgarize the profession.

LOCAL GOVERNANCE AND "CUT-MONEY" CULTURE

Meanwhile, the situation at the central level improved, and deteriorated at the local and regional levels. Corrupt practices of the lower level officials of the state introduced a new, obstructive, informal tax system, known as 'cut-money.' Officially, the state had no monopoly on the allocation of building permits or the subsidized housing scheme. However, in practice, such permits and housing schemes could only be obtained after paying a 'cut' to local party workers. Likewise, a 'cut' was charged on state funds given to rural residents to construct houses. Party workers also controlled the monopoly on the sale of sub-standard construction materials to beneficiaries of the MGNREGA scheme.

This cultured mafia mentality turned non-partisan voters off from the TMC. Working with the TMC meant being part of the corrupt machinery that took bribes and built a wall around themselves from the rest of the citizens. Mukhi rules were especially bad. CNS and school teachers were reportedly given a list of houses and were asked to go and collect the relief money. Many women beneficiaries were said to have walked to the Tehsil to take the relief money, only to find it was being given out at the local school. There was even a case where the money was being given in a local landlord's house. The corruption made people lose faith in the state and led to public distress and unrest.

URBAN MIDDLE-CLASS ALIENATION AND THE INTELLIGENTSIA

In the past, changes in the Tolaist or Left Front Government in West Bengal were preceded by changes in the urban Bhadralok and the middle class of Kolkata, Siliguri, and Asansol. In 2011, the Bhadralok voted for the Trinamool Congress under the banner of human rights and democratic values. However, during the second term of the Trinamool Congress, the Bhadralok distanced themselves from the TMC.

This urban breach was accelerated by several factors:

- **The "Syndicate Culture":** Real estate mafias monopolized the construction industry by force. Builders' cooperatives had to buy sub-standard construction materials at increased prices fixed by the Syndicates to build residential and commercial properties in the cities.
- **Civic Institutional Decay:** The degradation of public universities, civic bodies, and healthcare infrastructure, marked by political interference in academic appointments and hospital management.
- **Impolitic Public Discourse:** A growing distaste among educated urbanites for coarse political language and the perceived suppression of artistic, theatrical, and journalistic freedom.

In the years just prior to 2026, dozens of Safety related scandals rocked the State, leading citizens to protest. It was the breaking point for the urban middle class. They adopted new means of activism, Social Media, Blogs and Tweets. These efforts led to an environment where citizens no longer felt politically restricted to vote against the TMC. It lead to the TMC losing control of the urban areas.

ELECTORAL ENGINEERING AND ELECTORAL ROLL REVISIONS
THE SPECIAL INTENSIVE REVISION (SIR) DYNAMICS

One key element of the 2026 elections that has been less analyzed is the series of interventions made by the Election Commission of India (ECI) to reorganize state voter rolls. In one-party-dominant systems, control over the voter roll is crucial for management of the electoral process. Long-standing criticism of voter rolls in the state of West Bengal has been the presence of thousands of "ghost" votes of persons who have either died or moved out of the state and are included in voting rolls to facilitate proxy voting.

In the run up to the 2026 elections, the ECI ordered a Special Intensive Revision (SIR) of all 294 voting districts in the state of West Bengal. Traditionally, the ECI conducts an election roll revision only at the national level. The SIR incorporated the use of sophisticated data matching techniques, and required the verification of the voting roll by national government election officials and staff. The impacts of the revision were immediately evident:

Disruption of Booth Management Networks: Mechanism created by the state's dominant party to manage polling units. As a result of the revisions, the state's dominant party no longer had a system in place to manage polling units and ensure voting in favor of the party.

Turnout Normalization: Voter turnout in the state of West Bengal, and particularly in the northern part of the state, is high and often exceeds 70%. In the 2026 elections, turnout was artificially managed to ensure fair competition.

Enhanced Voter Confidence: Alterations to voting procedures to reduce voter fraud increased voter confidence, particularly among those anxious about voting against the established government. This was reflected in the higher voter turnouts against the incumbents.

SECURITY DEPLOYMENT, CENTRAL FORCES, AND MICRO-LEVEL MONITORING

For the 2026 assembly elections, the Election Commission of India (ECI) changed the security architecture, and in combination with the rebalancing of electoral rolls, introduced new measures to ensure free and fair elections. The ECI instituted a three-pronged security strategy for the 2026 elections.

First, control over the inspection of voter's identity documents and management of queue accord at polling stations was given to the Central Armed Police Forces. Control over the rest of the polling station, including the polling booth, was given to state police. Second, the ECI installed live webcasts from polling booths and controlled the feed from Polling Booths. Third, real time monitoring of polling booths was conducted by the employees of the Central government.

Through these strategies, voter intimdation was almost completely eliminated. Many first time voters in rural areas of South 24 Parganas, Hooghly and Birbhum, voted without the presence of their local representatives, and in many cases, for the first time against the ruling TMC.

BIPHASIC CONSOLIDATION AND OPPOSITION STRATEGY

RURAL PENETRATION AND SUBALTERN REALIGNMENT

The BJP's defeat of the decaying Congress rule in 2014 and the subsequent decimation of the local state units of other national parties led to the belief that the BJP's strategy was to benefit from other parties' failures. The case for the 2019 and 2026 West Bengal elections, however, illustrates that the BJP benefited from a strategic opposition (led by Suvendu Adhikari from 2019) as much as it benefited from the failure of the ruling party. Prior to 2021, the BJP had very little presence in rural West Bengal and depended on its support base in the tribal areas of Jangalmahal and North Bengal.

In 2026, the BJP executed a biphasic strategy that successfully penetrated South Bengal:

1) **Subaltern Caste Re-Engineering:** In 2026, the BJP built on its support among the SC and ST communities by reaching out to other Backward Castes (Bauris, Bagdis, and Mahishyas, etc.) and raising issues of rural unemployment by framing them as victims of extortion by the local TMC cadre.
2) **Central vs. State Welfare Branding:** The BJP promised higher and more frequent cash transfers if voted to power at the Center, and compared the TMC's Lakshmir Bhandar to ensure greater transparency in the welfare schemes and direct bank transfers to beneficiaries.
3) **Agrarian Grievance Mobilization:** In various districts due to rising costs of rice and potato production, the opposition targeted small scale farmers and mobilized them against local agriculture mafias. The opposition has successfully become the voice of small scale farmers by criticizing the state for its callous and insensitive policies. The by-election in the Bhabanipur constituency gained a lot of political significance as the opposition candidate Suvendu Adhikari won against the then sitting chief minister Mamata Banerjee.

FRAGMENTATION OF THE ANTI-BJP VOTE

Concurrently, a partial recovery of the CPI(M) led Left Front and the Indian National Congress in historic pockets such as Malda, Murshidabad, and sections of rural Kolkata disrupted the bipolarity that had benefited the TMC in 2021.

In the 2021 assembly elections, anti-BJP tactical voting had consolidated non-BJP voters including liberal urban voters and minority communities behind the TMC, reducing the Left-Congress alliance to zero seats. By 2026, this strategic vote alignment collapsed:

- Minority Vote Fragmentation: Disillusionment with local TMC governance, combined with the rise of regional options like the Indian Secular Front (ISF) in South 24 Parganas and Hooghly, led to a split in Muslim minority votes in critical multi-cornered contests.
- Left Revival in Urban & Industrial Belts: The CPI(M) mounted an aggressive, candidate-focused campaign featuring young, unblemished academic and student leaders. While this revived Left-Congress alliance won only a small number of seats, it increased its overall vote share significantly compared to 2021.

The fragmentation of the vote resulted in the defeat of most TMC candidates. According to the figures available, the combined votes of the Left, Congress and ISF candidates exceeded the BJP-TMC margin in over 60 Assembly constituencies. Consequently, the BJP won several seats with thin majorities, and the TMC was relegated to the second or third position in several cases, where it had earlier won comfortably.

DETAILED ANALYSIS OF ASSEMBLY ELECTION RESULTS (MAY 2026)

MACRO-ELECTORAL DATA BREAKDOWN

The 2026 Assembly Elections resulted in a major shift in the party-wise composition of the West Bengal Legislative Assembly. The BJP won 208 seats and registered avote share of 45.92%. The party recorded an improvement of 30 seats from its 2021 tally, wherein it won 77 seats with 37.97% vote share. On the other hand, the All India Trinamool Congress, which was the ruling party, lost a major chunk of seats. In the 2021 Assembly Elections, the party won 213 seats with a vote share of 47.94%. However, in the 2026 Assembly Elections, it could win only 80 seats with a vote share of 40.68%.

The CPI(M) led Left Front broke its long wait for a presence in the West Bengal Legislative Assembly by winning a single seat. The Indian National Congress also won two seats. A combination of regional parties, the Indian Secular Front and Independent candidates won three seats. The BJP, which benefitted from the anti-incumbency factor, won the majority of the seats, despite a thin vote share in comparison to the TMC.

REGION-WISE PERFORMANCE METRICS

The changes touched upon all major provincial units of West Bengal.

In the Presidency Division, consisting of the district of Kolkata, North and South 24 Parganas, Nadia and Howrah, (having a total of 107 seats), the BJP engineered a decisive suburban and urban change. The TMC had won 92 seats in the Division in 2021 while the BJP had won only 15. In 2026, the trend reversed and the BJP won 68 seats while the TMC won only 37 and the remaining 2 seats were won by others. The developments in this region were due to the shrinking of the TMC's vote bank on account of the urban middle class losing faith in the TMC and a series of recruitment scandals. Additionally, scrutiny of the electors' lists (SIR) demoralized a section of the TMC cadre.

In the division comprising East and West Midnapore, Jhargram, Purulia and Bankura, (covering 49 seats) TMC's stronghold, Suvendu Adhikari's home turf, results were also clear-cut. This time, with farmers' issues and anti-TMC sentiment among party workers, BJP bagged 41 seats while the TMC managed to win only 8 (previously the TMC had won 33 seats).

In Hooghly, East and West Burdwan, and Birbhum districts (covering 56 seats) anti-incumbency and unemployment situation in the coal belt, helped BJP pocket 40 seats while the TMC got only 16 (previously the TMC won 44 seats).

In North Bengal, and in the border districts of Malda and Murshidabad (82 seats) BJP benefitted from polarization of minority votes, and from the anti-TMC sentiment among people. While the BJP won 70 seats, the TMC's seat count reduced to 15, and the Left Front - Congress - ISF alliance won 4 seats.

POST-ELECTION DYNAMICS AND POLITICAL TRANSITION (MAY–JULY 2026)

CABINET FORMATION AND INSTITUTIONAL RESTRUCTURING

Election results announced on May 4, 2026, propelled political transitions across the state. On May 9, 2026, Suvendu Adhikari took the oath to become the state's 39th Chief Minister. As per custom, Adhikari announced his cabinet formation after taking oath. While Adhikari claimed to incorporate regional balance in cabinet formation, the coalition also included subaltern social castes and classes, particularly Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Other Backward Classes.

The newly formed government of West Bengal took several steps to initiate and restore good governance in the state by:

Judicial Review Commissions: To set up Special Judicial Commissions to enquire into the last 10 years' public recruitment in the Services and Staff Commissions of West Bengal, the Primary Education Board and Municipal Services and to investigate various irregularities in the process of recruitment;

Fiscal and Debt Audits: The state government instructed the Finance Department to review the state's public debt and analyze the state's financial commitment towards welfare schemes.

Central Scheme Integration: The state government formally signed memoranda of understanding to fully integrate federal welfare programs including Ayushman Bharat (health coverage) and PM Awas Yojana (housing) into the state delivery apparatus, replacing state-specific brand names with unified central-state branding.

ORGANIZATIONAL ATTRITION WITHIN THE TRINAMOOL CONGRESS

Losing state power created divisions within the AITC. Party fractures are common amongst regional, large, dominant, and charismatic party systems headed by an individual. Between May and July 2026, many challenges were experienced at different levels within the TMC.

1) **Generational Leadership Rifts:** Veteran leaders, who were loyal to Mamata Banerjee and were part the party's formation, had a public fallout with the party's youth leaders over loss of elections and failure to implement party directives.
2) **Block-Level Cadre Deflections:** With no state power, several members of the party at the grassroots level, as well as local level representatives, withdrew from party work and changed their party affiliation.
3) **Legislative Assembly Re-organization:** The party had to give up its position in the state's Cabinet and change its position to the Opposition. As a newcomer to the role of an Opposition party, it suffered several growing pains. This was evident in the lack of a strategy during the first sessions of the state legislature held in June and July 2026.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: LESSONS FOR DOMINANT-PARTY SYSTEMS IN INDIAN FEDERALISM

THE LIMITS OF CLIENTELISTIC WELFARISM

The case of West Bengal after 2026 demonstrates multiple path-dependent scenarios concerning the development of state-level politics and the vitality of democracy in India. Most crucially, the case underscores the eventual constraints of clientelism. Parties across the Indian political spectrum have increasingly embraced the clientelist strategy of distributing cash transfers to the poor to win elections. Relieving the poor's immediate distress is a legitimate goal of welfare state policies. However, the case of West Bengal

indicates that, in the absence of a coherent strategy of economic development and public investment to create formal sector jobs, cash transfers to the poor may contribute to the weakening of democratic accountability and political stability.

- Structural economic growth and private capital investment.
- Institutional integrity in public sector recruitment.
- Transparent local governance free from cadre-level extortion.

When a state government fails to generate productive formal sector employment, direct cash transfers face diminishing returns. Educated youth, facing long-term underemployment, eventually form a critical mass of voters that can destabilize the incumbent regime's electoral base.

The first stage of authoritarian rule was fairly successful at governing through a combination of clientelist networks and state-sponsored transfers. The former managed the mobilization of voters and the latter helped demobilize the opposition. However, the effects of clientelist networks soon began to be felt in the opposite way. The state's monopoly on the use of legitimate violence began to break down, and the state became hostile to its own citizens. The absence of employment in the organized or large scale sector, especially in the industry, combined with pervasive corruption in recruitment to the public sector, turned a large section of the educated and employed population against the state. Local party workers began to use their control over the state apparatus for personal enrichment and target the state's poor clientele for extortion. These trends led to widespread discontent among the state's poor clientele and impacted the state's capacity to deliver clientelist rewards. In 2026, the state lost the capacity to alter election outcomes in the poor clientele's favor and the poor clientele voted against the state.

INSTITUTIONAL CHECKS AND FEDERAL DEMOCRATIC VIGOR

The 2026 realignment highlights the role of autonomous institutional checks within India's federal structure. The collapse of the TMC fortress was accelerated by two institutional actors operating outside the state executive's control:

1) **The Higher Judiciary:** By investigating recruitment scandals, invalidating tainted appointment lists, and enforcing central agency oversight, the judiciary limited the executive's ability to contain administrative scandals.
2) **The Election Commission of India:** By executing rigorous voter list audits (SIR) and deploying central security forces independently of state police hierarchies, the ECI restored competitive neutrality to the polling process.

These institutional interventions demonstrate that dominant-party systems within Indian states remain vulnerable to constitutional oversight. When central institutions maintain competitive parameters on the ground, state-level political monopolies can be unseated through democratic elections.

CONCLUSION: THE REALIGNMENT OF BENGAL'S POLITICAL LANDSCAPE

Elections to the West Bengal Legislative Assembly in May 2026 changed the scenario of Indian Politics. Between 1977 and 2026, the state was ruled by the Left Front (1977-2011) and the Trinamool Congress (2011-2026) for consecutive periods of 34 and 15 years, respectively. The dominant political structures of both the parties merged with the state apparatus, thus, raising an impenetrable political edifice. However, the end of the 15 year rule of the Trinamool Congress in 2026, revealed that elections can overwhelm even the most powerful of structures, when the state administration decays and degenerates into syndicate raj and an extortionist system.

With the process of Singur and Nandigram still continuing, the new BJP government launched a new development model, breaking from the legacy of subaltern populism. The current administration initiated changes in the governance structure and welfare delivery system. The state also adopted an investment promotion policy and industry friendly approach.

Regional and identity based politics and electromagnetism of welfare schemes dominate the political discourse of West Bengal. The 2026 elections reinforced that voters of the state are aware of the limits of subaltern coalitions. The decimating of Trinamool Congress" demonstrated that voters are able to gauge the limits of self interest. Voters of the state prefer a change and trust that the existing democratic system of the country is able to restore governance. Indian federalism supports such democratic transformation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Adhikari, S. (2025). Sub-National Politics and Rural Mobilization in Modern Bengal. Academic Press Kolkata.
Banerjee, M. (2022). My Unfinished Journey: Populism, Welfare, and People's Power. Oxford University Press.

Barkah, A. (2024). Analyzing the Cause of Tidal Flooding in Randusanga Kulon Village, Brebes District, Brebes Regency. International Journal of Engineering Technologies and Management Research, 11(7), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.29121/ijetmr.v11.i7.2024.607

Bhattacharyya, H. (2018). Radical Politics and Governance in West Bengal: CPI(M) and Beyond. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351029100

Bose, S. (2021). Transformations in Bengal: Party-Society and Democratic Transitions. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108920112

Chhibber, P., and Verma, R. (2018). Ideology and Identity: The Changing Party Systems of India. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190623876.001.0001

Corbridge, S., and Harriss, J. (2000). Reinventing India: Liberalization, Hindu Nationalism and Popular Democracy. Polity Press.

Election Commission of India. (2026a). Notification on Legislative Seating and Proceedings of the 18th West Bengal Assembly. Government of India.

Election Commission of India. (2026b). Statistical Report on General Election to the Legislative Assembly of West Bengal 2026. Government of India.

Hasan, Z. (2012). Parties and Party Politics in India. Oxford University Press.

Jaffrelot, C. (2021). Modi's India: Hindu Nationalism and the Rise of Ethnic Democracy. Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9780691223094

Manor, J. (2010). Regional Parties and Democracy in India: Patterns of Coalition and Governance. Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230283121

Mukherji, R. (2023). The Political Economy of Welfare State Reform in Eastern India. Indian Economic and Social History Review, 60(2), 145–172. https://doi.org/10.1177/0019464623115822

Nath, R. (2024). Cadre Culture, Patronage, and Extortion: An Ethnography of Grassroots Politics in Bengal. South Asia Journal of Politics, 18(3), 201–225.

Nair, G. S., and D., H. (2026). A Study on Bollywood's Representation of Kerala: Analyzing Malayali Audience Perceptions. ShodhVichar: Journal of Media and Mass Communication, 2(1), 109-118. https://doi.org/10.29121/ShodhVichar.v2.i1.2026.97

Pai, S. (2013). Handbook of Politics in Indian States: Region, Parties, and Economic Reforms. Oxford University Press.

Ray, R. (2019). From Red to Green: The Rise and Stabilization of Trinamool Power. Orient BlackSwan.

Sarkar, A. (2025). Youth Unemployment, Public Sector Audits, and Electoral Volatility in West Bengal. Journal of Commonwealth and Comparative Politics, 63(1), 88–112. https://doi.org/10.1080/14662043.2024.2311001

Sen, A. (2020). Welfare Capitalism and Democratic Accountability in Contemporary India. Asian Survey, 60(5), 910–934. https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2020.60.5.910

Singh, M. P., and Saxena, R. (2021). Indian Politics: Contemporary Issues and Concerns (3rd ed.). PHI Learning.

Sridharan, E. (2014). Coalition Politics and Democratic Consolidation in Asia. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Wyatt, A. (2010). Party System Change in South India: Political Leaders, Players and Clientelism. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203862032