ShodhSamajik: Journal of Social Studies
October-December 2026 3(3)
https://shodhsamajik.com/

Original Article

POVERTY ALLEVIATION, EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME AND WEALTH, AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH: STRATEGIES FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN INDIA

Deepak Kumar [1*], **Suman Rani** [2]
[1] Associate Professor, Department of Economics, PGGCG Sector-11, Chandigarh, Haryana, India
[2] Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, GC Panipat, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT

Poverty reduction, equality in income/wealth distribution, and inclusive growth are embedded pillars of sustainable development in India. While consumption growth and the provision of basic services matter, they are not necessarily linked to secure livelihoods, equitable access to assets, or resilience to economic shocks. These relationships are explored in this chapter using a qualitative analysis of secondary literature such as official surveys, institutional publications, and distributional research that were found in the source manuscript. It separates poverty's monetary nature and associated inequality from multidimensional deprivation, and distinguishes consumption inequality from income and wealth inequality. The analysis shows that investments in employment quality, public services, productive assets, gender equality, and institutional accountability complement economic growth and social assistance. It focuses on agricultural transformation, regional differences, fiscal policy, and environmental resilience. It suggests an integrated approach through "protective redistribution," "productive inclusion," "accountable delivery," and "climate-sensitive planning. Sustainable inclusion involves shifting from the short-term approach of permanent escape from poverty to capabilities, economic mobility, and secure livelihoods.

Keywords: Poverty Alleviation, Income Inequality, Wealth Distribution, Inclusive Growth, Sustainable Development, India, Social Protection, Economic Mobility

INTRODUCTION

India's development agenda is not limited to increasing the nation's output. It also concerns access to livelihoods for individuals and households, access to services, accumulation of productive assets, and meaningful engagement in economic life. Poverty alleviation, equitable distribution, and inclusive growth are some of the aspects that can be addressed in tackling the challenge. They need to be integrated: even if one dimension improves, it doesn't ensure improvement in the others.

The goal of poverty alleviation is to combat poverty and enhance the lives of the poor. Equitable distribution concerns how resources, opportunities, and development benefits are distributed. Inclusive growth considers whether disadvantaged groups can participate in economic growth and benefit from it. The intergenerational aspect of sustainable development, however, extends that consideration to environmental resources and institutional capacities that are needed for the well-being of future generations – the topic of this presentation.

The evidence reviewed in the original manuscript shows a rather ambiguous distribution. Evidence from household consumption data shows improved material living standards and reduced measured consumption inequality. In the study of income

*Corresponding Author:
Email address: Deepak Kumar (dnarwal786@gmail.com), Suman Rani (sumanr.sandhu7@gmail.com)

Received: 20 September 2026; **Revised:** 22 October 2026; **Accepted:** 12 November 2026; **Published:** 21 November 2026
DOI: 10.29121/ShodhSamajik.v3.i3.2026.227
Page Number: 134-141
Journal Title: ShodhSamajik: Journal of Social Studies
Journal Abbreviation: ShodhSamajik J. Soc. Stud.
Online ISSN: 3049-2319, **Print ISSN:** 3108-2009
Publisher: Granthaalayah Publications and Printers, India
Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
Authors' Contributions: Each author made an equal contribution to the conception and design of the study. All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.
Transparency: The authors affirm that this manuscript presents an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study. All essential aspects have been included, and any deviations from the original study plan have been clearly explained. The writing process strictly adhered to established ethical standards.
Copyright: © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.

and wealth, however, there is considerable concentration at the top of the distribution. These conclusions need not be incompatible, since measures of consumption, income, and wealth reflect different economic relationships.

Growth in resources could come at the cost of increased reliance on precarious employment, public transfers, or debt. Likewise, improved housing and sanitation can coexist with unequal access to education and a lack of ownership of productive resources. The question of development is thus not only whether poverty has been reduced, but also whether households have economic security and agency.

This chapter proposes a structural and institutional transformation coordination for lasting inclusion. Social assistance must be complemented by stronger job creation, better public services, greater access to assets, and more accountable institutions. Environmental resilience must be considered throughout, not as an add-on.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The main issue is the disconnect between aggregate economic development and lasting inclusion. Achievement can be shown through increasing output, decreasing measured deprivation, and increased welfare coverage. However, these indicators do not confirm the sustainability of livelihoods, the fair distribution of opportunities, or declining economic power.

Three analytical problems need to be addressed. First, poverty is often treated as a single monetary standard, but deprivation includes health, education, nutrition, housing, and sanitation. Second, consumption inequality, income inequality, and wealth inequality are sometimes treated as interchangeable. Third, policy evaluation is often based not only on expenditure, enrolment counts, or numbers of beneficiaries but also on the persistence of improvements in household welfare.

Lower inequality and wider programme coverage do not guarantee equitable wealth or quality services. Policy must convert welfare gains into opportunities and resilience.

OBJECTIVES AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The chapter aims to achieve 5 objectives:

1) To convey the connection between poverty alleviation, equity of distribution, inclusive growth and sustainability.
2) To understand and explain some secondary sources of information relating to deprivation, consumption, income, and wealth in India.
3) To come to know the shortcomings of growth and single-indicator evaluations.
4) To analyze Employment, Public Service, Assets, gender, and Regional Development as means of inclusion.
5) To put forward the idea of a holistic approach connecting immediate protection and economic mobility in the long run.

Three broad questions are discussed throughout the discussion. Why not just decrease poverty and increase income and wealth? What types of policy combinations can enhance current welfare and future opportunities? How do you measure progress without confusing the indicators, the reference time, or the methods used to estimate progress?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESEARCH DESIGN AND SOURCES

This chapter uses a qualitative, descriptive, and analytical review design. It synthesises published evidence and provides a policy synthesis, not statistical relations. No primary household survey, interview, experiment, regression analysis, or independent poverty calculation was conducted. Jasani (2024)

This manuscript draws on the Household Consumption Expenditure Survey, 2023-24; NITI Aayog reports on multidimensional poverty; research from the .World Inequality Lab; an economic survey institutional summary (2025-26); and the World Bank.

These sources cover various aspects of development. The results are therefore analysed jointly, and no statistical series are prepared.

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE AND SCOPE

The analysis has four stages: clarifying concepts, comparing selected findings, defining structural constraints, and deriving an integrated policy framework. The main topics are: job quality, public services, fiscal policy, productive assets, gender inclusion, regional development, and environmental resilience.

No further changes will be made to the reviews, as the review process will be based on the original manuscript's publication coverage up to October 2026. The time periods in the tables are distinguished from the publication period. Several cases of survey observations, extrapolated estimates, and economic projections are also dealt with separately.

This chapter is a selective policy review, not a systematic review. Its recommendations reflect good sense and would need to be implemented context-specifically and independently assessed for effectiveness.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND INSTITUTIONAL EVIDENCE

MULTIDIMENSIONAL POVERTY

The NITI Aayog multidimensional poverty framework examines multiple deprivations in health, education, and living standards. It has been indicated that its multidimensional poverty headcount is reducing over time, from 24.85% in 2015-16 to 14.96% during the progress review period in 2019-21. A subsequent discussion paper estimated the figure at 11.28% for 2022–23 NITI Aayog (2023), NITI Aayog (2024).

These findings differ slightly. The previous figure is based on surveys, while the latter is an extrapolation, not a figure from a new national survey.

The multidimensional approach expands the assessment of development beyond the value of goods and services produced. It also focuses on other public services: increased investment will not necessarily ensure adequate nutrition, effective schooling, and reliable sanitation.

HOUSEHOLD CONSUMPTION

The Household Consumption Expenditure Survey provides information on household expenditure and its distribution. During 2023-24, the consumption expenditure per capita averaged ₹4122 for the rural population and ₹6996 for the urban population (excluding imputed value of selected items received free due to social transfers) Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. (2025).

It also shows a decrease in consumption Gini coefficients from 2022-23 to 2023-24 (0.266 in rural areas and 0.314 in urban areas, respectively). These figures show measured inequality of consumption within sectors.

Relying on consumption data is vital to understanding real-life circumstances. But nominal expenditure alone cannot capture changes in real purchasing power, employment security, income distribution, or wealth accumulation.

INCOME AND WEALTH CONCENTRATION

Subsequent analysis, notably by Bharti et al. (2024) combining surveys, national accounts, tax information, wealth aggregates, and rich lists to estimate long-term distributional patterns, suggests that the nation's most vulnerable have been hardest hit by the economic downturn and that its rise to the top ranks of the global economic pyramid has been extremely elusive. The estimates put the share of the top 1 per cent of the national income at about 22.6 per cent and the share of the national wealth at 40.1 per cent in 2022–23.

The results of these findings point to some aspects that are not fully reflected in consumption surveys. Income is the resources received over a period of time, whereas wealth is assets accumulated net of any liabilities.

Estimates also rely on "assumptions" and "harmonisation" between sources. They are therefore likely to be viewed as research estimates, not directly comparable household consumption figures. They are relevant in highlighting the importance of high levels of basic consumption alongside a higher concentration of economic resources.

GROWTH, EMPLOYMENT, AND THE ANALYTICAL GAP.

The Economic Survey discussion is identified in the manuscript on the quality of Employment, relevant skills, competitiveness of enterprises, and sustainable livelihoods. These concerns link growth to productive sources of household welfare.

The reviewed sources collectively highlight different aspects of the concept of development: concurrent deprivation, consumption, resource focus, and productive opportunities. The analysis gap lies in integrating these perspectives. To explain how protection, capabilities, assets, and institutional delivery change over time and interdependently, a comprehensive framework is needed.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

POVERTY ALLEVIATION AND ECONOMIC SECURITY

Poverty alleviation is a more complex process than merely exceeding the poverty line. Three complementary achievements are identified in this chapter: adequate current consumption, effective access to essential services, and resilience in the face of renewed deprivation.

Even if a household is above a poverty line, it is not spared illness, unemployment, crop failure, or debt. There must therefore be a differentiation between poverty exit and economic security. Here both refer to the transition from somewhere to somewhere else – the former, the crossing of a specific threshold; the latter, the endurance of better living conditions.

EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

Equitable distribution doesn't mean equal incomes or assets. It demands eliminating unnecessary disadvantage, broadening access to productive opportunities, and minimizing institutional structures that systematically concentrate benefits.

Income and wealth should not be "mixed up" analytically. Income pays for current spending and saving, but wealth has productive returns, buffers shocks, provides housing security, and guards against interruptions. Distributional policy must therefore account for resource flows and accumulated stocks.

INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Inclusive growth is measured by participation, benefit, and voice. Participation relates to access to productive activities—benefit= improvements in earnings, services, and security. Voice is the power to push back against institutions and seek redress when they don't perform.

This exclusion-inclusion approach does not simply equate inclusion with enrolling in school. An individual with no lack of skills can be in a programme or in a labour market without getting a good return in terms of wages, a sense of ownership, or increased access to resources.

SUSTAINABILITY

Economic, social, and environmental sustainability are critical. Water-insecure, hazardous-heat exposure-based development which also fails to improve the income of the current generation is not sustainable.

In this mould, environmental protection is not external to poverty policy. It is one condition of sustainability for improvements in well-being to be transmitted across generations.

INTERPRETING INDIA'S DEVELOPMENT POSITION

Evidence supports a more nuanced evaluation rather than a final verdict of success or failure. Progress made on multiple aspects of deprivation and household consumption are significant gains. Meanwhile, sharing money and property intensifies concerns about opportunity, asset ownership, and the distribution of future benefits.

Dimension	Selected finding retained from the manuscript	Interpretation
Multidimensional poverty	24.85% in 2015–16; 14.96% in 2019–21	Reduced overlapping deprivation
Estimated multidimensional poverty	11.28% in 2022–23	Extrapolation, not a fresh survey observation
Rural monthly per capita consumption	₹4,122 in 2023–24	Nominal expenditure, excluding specified imputations
Urban monthly per capita consumption	₹6,996 in 2023–24	Not directly equivalent to income or purchasing power
Consumption Gini	Rural: 0.237; urban: 0.284 in 2023–24	Lower measured within-sector consumption inequality
Top 1% income share	22.6% in 2022–23	Multi-source estimate of income concentration
Top 1% wealth share	40.1% in 2022–23	Multi-source estimate of accumulated wealth concentration

Source: NITI Aayog (2023, 2024), MoSPI (2025), and Bharti et al. (2024), as cited in the original manuscript.

These indicators vary in concept, coverage, and methodology. However, reducing deprivation should not be de-emphasized because wealth inequality remains. Likewise, even if consumption improves, it is not to people's detriment to suggest that structural inequality has vanished. Policy must address basic living conditions and the distribution of productive capacity to have an impact.

STRUCTURAL CHALLENGES TO SUSTAINABLE INCLUSION

EMPLOYMENT WITHOUT SECURE LIVELIHOODS

Jobs provide welfare through income, stability, working conditions, and eligibility for protection. Looking only at worker numbers will not determine whether workers can lead a decent and secure livelihood.

The issue of underemployment, irregular pay, and restricted career growth is thus addressed as a policy issue in this chapter. Productivity programs should also consider whether workers have access to new opportunities and whether they can benefit.

PROTECTION WITHOUT MOBILITY

Social transfers can secure household consumption and reduce reliance on adverse coping mechanisms. Protection and mobility serve different purposes, however. They can help prevent deterioration without providing productive assets or job opportunities.

Withdrawing support because of this difference isn't justified. Needs specific targets for basic-needs protection and, where possible, alternative pathways to better livelihoods.

UNEQUAL STARTING POSITIONS

Several different shocks differ in how they can be absorbed by a household depending on their tolerance and capacity to invest, undertake training, absorb losses, or wait for future gains. The differences can't be recognized by current consumption alone.

Access to an asset matters, but so do ownership and productivity. Equipment, credit, or land assistance needs appropriate infrastructure, skills, services, and market opportunities.

REGIONAL AND GENDER DISPARITIES

National averages can mask the fact that social groups and places share some common disadvantages. Local constraints can relate to transport, markets, education, health services, or administrative capacity.

Gender inclusion requires looking beyond participation rates. Whether economic activity contributes to meaningful empowerment depends on how well people earn, how they control their income, how effective they are as asset owners, their safety, and their responsibilities for caring for others.

INSTITUTIONAL FRAGMENTATION

Various agencies record and carry out different development aspects. If they are not coordinated, households may face inconsistent services and unclear roles.

Measurement fragmentation is related to this. Vague terminology or time ranges can muddy evaluation and priorities. It is thus not surprising that statistical transparency and the availability of mechanisms through which grievances could be raised are included in the concept of institutional accountability.

STRATEGIES FOR POVERTY ALLEVIATION AND EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION

EMPLOYMENT-CENTRED GROWTH

An employment-centred strategy should link skills, infrastructure, enterprise development, and market opportunities. Employment relevance, earnings, retention, and promotion should be considered key indicators of training programme success, not just certification.

The chapter recommends stronger links between training institutions and employers, apprenticeships with clear, pre-defined components, and employment planning based on local needs. Enterprise support should address productivity barriers and how small businesses can maintain jobs.

To be effective, these measures should be implemented in a coordinated manner. Starting training and activities without corresponding demand may not be worthwhile, and enterprise investment that does not require suitable training staff may not be exploitable. An employment policy thus needs to harmonize supply-side capacities with productive demand.

EFFECTIVE UNIVERSAL PUBLIC SERVICES

Inclusion has a shared platform in health, education, drinking water, transportation, nutrition, and sanitation. They are means to effectiveness, not the infrastructure or enrolment itself.

Childhood doesn't happen in a school building, and neither does timely, affordable health care in a health facility. The assessment should be based on the actual availability of services and household experiences.

Additional support should be provided to the extent of disadvantage. This approach accounts for unequal situations and a common minimum standard. Public services and livelihood policies should also be coordinated to ensure that poor health or lack of education does not jeopardize productive participation.

DISTRIBUTION-SENSITIVE FISCAL POLICY

Evaluate public services, tax, and transfer policies together. The impact of fiscal policy on distribution depends on the sectors of the economy that provide revenues, those that receive support, and those that benefit from public spending.

The chapter calls for greater compliance, expands the discussion of exemptions openly and transparently, and strengthens the links between revenue collection and social investments. When a proposal involves a high-value item, inheritance, or net wealth, it is important to consider valuation, avoidance, liquidity, and administrative capacity.

Revenue increases are not guaranteed. The relevant objective is a realistic fiscal system that improves the quality of essential services, is less disadvantageous than necessary, and is not given preference to other implementation considerations.

ACCESS TO PRODUCTIVE ASSETS

Welfare policy must address both sides of the issue—current welfare and the means to build assets. Priorities include security and shelter (or a secure house), appropriate tools, viable activities, and working capital or a savings mechanism.

Asset support should be developed as a whole package. Equipment must have electricity, maintenance, and demand for use, and finance must have viable activities and manageable repayment commitments. Effective women's ownership and decision-making should be addressed explicitly, not assumed as a de facto result of formal registration.

The key issue is, “Is access enhancing productive capacity and security?” Distributing assets alone isn't enough to measure success.

SOCIAL PROTECTION LINKED TO MOBILITY

Social protection should be complemented by a solid protective base and opportunities to advance. Social protection has to be available in times of shocks and for persons with reduced capacity to work – due to ageing, disability, or situation.

Support can be aligned with the education/healthcare/skills and productive opportunities that can be provided to those households that can pursue improved livelihoods. Administrative rules should not impose penalties for being temporarily better off, which reduces the inclination to make the transition.

Mobility should not cause a loss of protection. It acknowledges that some households need ongoing support and that livelihood changes can be slow or reversible.

INCLUSIVE AGRICULTURAL TRANSFORMATION

Productivity needs to be pursued alongside measures that support rural livelihoods. Extension services, efficient water use, water storage, processing and water market access are complementary priorities identified in the policy discussion in the manuscript.

It is important to include tenants, agricultural labourers and other groups living in rural areas, in addition to formal landowners, in support. Rural households may also need access to non-farm activities, rather than relying on a single income source.

Agricultural transformation should be coupled with enterprises and services within the local community, as a prerequisite for farm productivity. Assess whether gains are directed to socially and economically disadvantaged groups and whether resource use is environmentally sustainable.

WOMEN’S ECONOMIC AGENCY

Evaluate women's inclusion based on participants' earnings, choices, safety, resource control, and future opportunities. Increased participation is only relevant, but is not an indicator of empowerment if it does not stand alone.

The chapter suggests that attention be paid to ensuring safe transport, constraints related to care, appropriate training, fair compensation, and effective asset ownership. Programme assessment should consider the extent to which women can affect the way in which their income is used and their choices over working.

Policies also need to account for women's diversity and avoid assuming a single set of obstacles. Local consultation can be used to inform identification of constraints, where the focus is on identifying those that are most directly impeding participation/progression.

PLACE-BASED DEVELOPMENT

The first step in place-based development is to determine the key constraints affecting a place. They can be connectivity, electricity, skills, public services, market institutions, or administrative delivery.

The chapter offers a framework for inter-departmental coordination across districts, with clear metrics and community engagement. National standards should ensure uniformity; implementation should remain flexible to meet local needs.

Complementarity is essential. There are some skills or market access needs that must be addressed before disadvantaged households can be served by transport investment. Incorporating the evaluation of connected systems into territorial planning is therefore a better approach than focusing solely on projects.

CLIMATE-RESILIENT LIVELIHOODS

Environmental resilience needs to be considered in poverty and employment planning. Relevant proposals include water security, resilient public infrastructure, locally appropriate adaptation, and protection from livelihood disruption from heat impacts.

Economic restructuring must also account for how transition costs are distributed. Where workers or areas face adjustment stress, this should include retraining and livelihood diversification.

The aim is not just to develop more programmes. It is to make existing investments less vulnerable and allow protective systems to operate in the event of an environmental shock that impacts income or services.

RESPONSIBLE FINANCIAL AND DIGITAL INCLUSION

Measures of financial and digital inclusion need to go beyond access counts to include parameters such as usefulness, reliability, affordability, and protection.

Financial products shall be designed to provide security and/or productive activity, not to create undue obligations to pay them back. Digital delivery should make access easier, but must also offer some practical solutions for those who cannot use the digital systems.

The chapter considers these to be design principles. They would need to show evidence of user experience, exclusion, grievance resolution, and actual outcomes for households.

INTEGRATED FRAMEWORK AND IMPLEMENTATION PRIORITIES

The proposed framework will integrate four components which reinforce each other.

Protective redistribution will be provided to preserve critical consumption and services. Productive inclusion builds capacities and access to remunerative opportunities. Good rules, timely service, and effective remedies are enhanced by institutional accountability. Progress is durable with environmental resilience.

Their relationship can be expressed as:

Protection of essential needs → stronger capabilities → productive opportunities → livelihood security → greater resilience.

The sequence is a concept and not a causal sequence that has been statistically proven. Transitions can be further supported and repeated to progress, and shocks can hinder or stall transitions. The framework therefore does not regard development as a continuous, automatic process.

The main focus should be on exclusion errors, clear reporting, service reliability, and grievance resolution, which should be addressed immediately. These measures aim to ensure that pledges will work before further announcements are made to address delivery issues.

Medium-term priorities include improving public services, departmental working systems, local employment systems, rural infrastructure, women's economic opportunities, and inter-department coordination.

Fiscal capacity, asset availability, distributional statistics, and environmental planning should be done in the longer term. Health and safety arrangements, responsibilities for all stages, financing, and evaluation should be clear throughout. Local adaptations should be done in addition to, and not instead of, public, open standards.

MONITORING AND EVALUATION

A poverty headcount is often insufficient to measure sustainable inclusion. The chapter suggests a dashboard on monetary poverty, multidimensional deprivation, real earnings, employment continuity, quality of service, productive assets, household vulnerability, gender differences, regional disparities, and environmental resilience.

All indicators must be accompanied by information on their unit, population coverage, reference period, and methodology. Observations cannot be confused with estimates or projections. Summarising measures' concepts is not equivalent to combining them, as different concepts should not be combined because they describe the same country.

There should also be a distinction between Outputs and Outcomes. A programme's activity is reflected in expenditure, infrastructure, enrolment, and the number of beneficiaries. Learning and affordable care, consistent earnings, and resilience signal changes in welfare. They are both important and ask different questions.

Both distribution and averages should be evaluated. Some groups may be left behind even as overall performance improves. The interpretation of administrative data should therefore be informed by the other two sources of information: household evidence and readily available feedback mechanisms. Monitoring is more than a matter of reporting success; it's about identifying areas that need to be corrected in delivery and/or policy design.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The study does not attempt to quantify the impact of transfers and public services, or of growth and employment, on poverty reduction, separately. Its conclusions result from a selective review and conceptual synthesis.

Underlying sources vary in methodology, periods covered, and coverage. The multidimensional poor, inequality in consumption, and top wealth shares are not interchangeable. The research estimates can also be based on assumptions that are not used in official surveys.

References for the institutions that survived in 2026 must be bibliographic and statistical before they are published. A short publication date does not mean that all of the observations that are underlying that publication date are in the same year.

Last but not least, the full package of the proposed policy has not been tested. It would require funding and administrative capacity, and it would vary with local conditions and implementation quality. Limitations only help one to interpret carefully and do not negate the need for an integrated approach.

CONCLUSION

Sustainable development requires more than poverty reduction; it demands secure livelihoods, equitable access to resources, and effective public services. Linking social protection, productive opportunities, accountable institutions, and environmental resilience can help households achieve lasting economic security.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Bharti, N. K., Chancel, L., Piketty, T., & Somanchi, A. (2024). Income and wealth inequality in India, 1922–2023: The rise of the billionaire raj (World Inequality Lab Working Paper No. 2024/09). World Inequality Lab.

Jasani, D. (2024). Understanding the Digital Skills Gap in the AI Forward Construction Workforce: A Data-Driven Study. Applied Science and Engineering Journal for Advanced Research, 3(3), 46–51.

Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. (2025). Household consumption expenditure survey: 2023–24. Government of India.

NITI Aayog. (2023). National multidimensional poverty index: A progress review 2023. Government of India.

NITI Aayog. (2024). Multidimensional poverty in India since 2005–06: A discussion paper. Government of India.

PRS Legislative Research. (2026). Economic survey 2025–26: Report summary.

World Bank. (2026, October). India poverty and equity brief.